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**RESUMEN**

Características funcionales y nutricionales de ciruela de pan de jengibre (*Neocarya macrophylla*): una semilla infrautilizada.

La digestibilidad de proteínas *in vitro*, la calidad nutricional de proteínas y las características funcionales (solubilidad de proteínas, capacidad de enlace agua/aceite, capacidad emulsionante y capacidad espumante) de harina de semillas de ciruela de pan de jengibre y de cacahuate fueron estudiadas. Entre los parámetros nutricionales, la relación aminoácidos esenciales/aminoácidos totales (E/T), el perfil de aminoácidos (AAS) y el coeficiente de eficacia proteica (PER) fueron estudiados. Harina de semillas de ciruela de pan de jengibre (DGPSM) mostraron una alta calidad nutricional con unos valores de PER y de AAS de 2.35 y 65.53 respectivamente. El perfil de solubilidad de DGPSM fue similar al de la harina desengrasada de cacahuate (DPM), con una mínima solubilidad observada a pH 4 y un máximo de solubilidad a pH 10 y superior. Las capacidades de retención de agua y de aceite fueron 3.01 y 3.12; 2.96 y 3.11 g/g para DGPSM y DPM respectivamente. DGPSM mostró una buena capacidad emulsionante (110 mL /100 mL) incluso después de 60 min a temperatura ambiente. La capacidad emulsionante de DGPSM fue del 29%. Las densidades fueron 0.30 y 0.28 g/ml para DGPSM y DPM respectivamente. Por último, DGPSM fue fácilmente hidrolizado por tripsina *in vitro*. Estos resultados mostraron que DGPSM tienen propiedades funcionales que pueden encontrar aplicación en la industria alimentaria.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** Aminoácido – Calidad nutricional – Ciruela de pan de jengibre – Digestibilidad *in vitro* de proteínas – Propiedades funcionales – Semilla oleaginosa.

**SUMMARY**

Nutritional and functional characteristics of gingerbread plum (*Neocarya macrophylla*): an underutilized oilseed.

The *in-vitro* protein digestibility, protein nutritional quality and functional characteristics (protein solubility, water/oil binding capacity, emulsifying capacity and foaming capacity) of gingerbread plum and peanut seed flour were studied. Among the nutritional parameters, the proportion of essential amino acids to total amino acids (E/T), amino acid scores (AAS) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) were studied. Defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) showed a high nutritional quality with PER and AAS values of 2.35 and 65.53 respectively. The solubility profile of DGPSM was similar to that of defatted peanut meal (DPM), with minimum solubility observed at pH 4 and maximum solubility at pH 10 and higher. Water and oil holding capacities were 3.01 and 3.12; 2.96 and 3.11 g/g for DGPSM and DPM respectively. DGPSM showed good foaming capacity (110 mL /100 mL) and stability (110 mL /100 mL) even after 60 min at room temperature. The emulsifying capacity of DGPSM was 29%. Bulk densities were 0.30 and 0.28 g/mL for DGPSM and DPM respectively. Finally, DGPSM was easily hydrolyzed by trypsin *in vitro*. These results show that DGPSM has functional properties that may find applications in the food industry.


1. **INTRODUCTION**

Oilseeds comprise those seeds that contain reasonably high percentages of oil and about 20-25% protein. After removal of the oil they contain 50-60% protein (Altschul, 1958). Seeds included in this category are groundnut, soya bean, palm kernel, cotton seed, locust bean, melon seeds, conophor nut, castor bean, African oil bean, sunflower seed, rapeseed, sesame seed, linseed, safflower and other such seeds (Robellen et al., 1989). Some of these seeds are at present not well known and thus may be grossly underutilized in relation to their potential. One of these lesser-known oilseeds is gingerbread plum (*Neocarya macrophylla*) seed. Gingerbread plum belongs to *chrysobalanaceae* family. Gingerbread plum trees grow in arid and semiarid regions mainly in the Western part of Africa and Central America, particularly Panama. The plant is semi-cultivated and its fruits are harvested from the ground.

The fruits are used in a variety of ways. Many are eaten fresh or are boiled with cereal. Fragrant syrups are often prepared as well; and gingerbread plum is also the basis for some drinks that prove much stronger than any fruit juice.

The kernels inside the seeds are eaten too. The gingerbread nuts are usually roasted and enjoyed like cashews or almonds. Some are consumed as snacks, others mixed into cooked dishes and a few are pressed to yield cooking oil (National Research Council, 2008). Like most oilseeds, gingerbread...
plum seeds are of high food value with about 40-
60% oil and 21-25% protein contents (Burkill, 1985; 
Amza et al., 2010). The defatted gingerbread 
plum seed meal contains 61% protein. In addition, 
gingerbread plum seeds are a good source of certain 
aminos acids, such as lysine, valine and phenylalanine 
(Amza et al., 2010), which is important for balancing 
the deficiency of these essential amino acids in 
cereal-based diets. An appreciable amount of research was conducted 
on gingerbread plum fruit. These studies reported 
the nutritional and functional characteristics of 
the flesh of gingerbread plum fruit (Frederick, 1961; 
Cook et al., 1998 and Audu et al., 2005). Interestingly, even though the seed is rich in protein 
and oil, very little information has been reported. 
Hence, the present study was undertaken on the 
utilization of gingerbread plum seed flour as a 
protein rich meal, by describing its nutritional 
quality and functional properties such as water holding, oil 
binding, foam and emulsification capacities, nitrogen 
solubility, and bulk density and also to evaluate the 
in-vitro digestibility behavior of the meal.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Starting materials

Gingerbread plum seeds were collected in Birni N’Gaouré, the southern region of the Republic of 
Niger and provided by Alimentation Générale 
SARA. The seeds were milled using a laboratory 
scale hammer miller and the resulting paste was 
dispersed in n-hexane at a paste to n-hexane ratio 
of 1:5 (w/v) and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. 
The experiment was repeated twice as described 
above. The n-hexane was decanted and the defatted 
gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) was air 
dried for 24 h under a vacuum drier then sieved 
above. The n-hexane was decanted and the defatted 
gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) was air 
dried for 24 h under a vacuum drier then sieved 
through a 60 mesh screen and stored at 5

2.2. Amino acid analysis

Dried samples were digested with HCl (6 M) at 
110°C for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere. Reversed 
phase high performance liquid chromatography 
(RP-HPLC) analysis was carried out in an Agilent 
1100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) 
assembly system after precolumn derivatization 
with o-phthalaldialdehyde (OPA) (Jarret et al., 1986).

Each sample (1 µL) was injected onto a Zorbax 
80 A C18 column (i.d., 4.6 × 180 mm, Agilent 
Technologies) at 40°C with detection at 338 nm. 
The mobile phase A was 7.35 mM/L sodium 
acetate/m ethanol/acetonitrile (1:2.2, v/v/v), adjusted to pH 7.2 with acetic acid, while 
mobile phase B (pH 7.2) was 7.35 mM/L sodium 
acetate/m ethanol/acetonitrile (1:2.2, v/v/v). The 
amino acid composition was expressed as g of 
amino acid per 100 g of protein.

2.3. Protein nutritional parameters

The nutritional parameters of whole gingerbread 
plum seed meal (WGPSM), defatted gingerbread 
plum seed meal (DGPSM), whole peanut meal 
(WPM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) were 
calculated using their amino acid compositions 
including: 1) proportion of essential amino acids (E) 
to total amino acids (T) of proteins; 2) amino acid 
score (AAS) = (mg of amino acid/g of test protein/ 
mg of amino acid/g of FAO/WHO/UNU standard 
pattern) × 100; 3) Predicted protein efficiency ratio 
(PER) values. The FAO/WHO reference pattern (PER) values. The FAO/WHO reference pattern 
standard. The predicted PER values of DGPSM 
and DPM were estimated by three regression 
equations developed by Alsmeyer et al. (1974).

PER = 0.684 + 0.456 (Leu) – 0.047 (Pro)
II. PER = 0.468 + 0.454 (Leu) – 0.105 (Tyr)
III. PER = 0.816 + 0.435 (Met) + 0.780 (Leu) 
+ 0.211 (His) – 0.944 (Tyr)

2.4. SDS-PAGE

SDS-PAGE was conducted on 12% separating 
and 4% stacking gels according to Laemmli (1970). 
An aliquot of 5 mg was dissolved in 1 mL of 20 mM 
Tris-HCl buffer at pH 7.1. The solution was then 
centrifuged at 12000 × g for two minutes to obtain 
the analytical sample. Coomassie brilliant blue 
R-250 was used for staining.

2.5. Protein solubility

Protein solubility was determined according to 
the procedure of Bera and Mukherjee (1989). Protein 
dispersions (0.1%, w/v) were prepared in 0.1 N 
Na2HPO4, adjusted to a specific value within the 
range of pH 2-10 with 0.1 N HCl or 0.1 NaOH. These 
suspensions were shaken (Lab Line Environ Shaker; 
Lab Line Instrument, Inc., Melrose Park, Ill., USA) 
for 30 min at room temperature (approximately 
25 ± 1°C) and centrifuged at 4000 × g for 30 min. 
Percent protein solubility was calculated as PS (%) 
= (protein content of sample/protein content of 
control) ×100. The protein content of the dispersion 
(0.1%, w/v) in 0.1 N NaOH was used as the total 
protein content (or 100% protein solubility).

2.6. In vitro protein digestibility

In vitro protein digestibility was determined according 
to Elkhail et al., (2001), with slight 
modifications. About 20 mg of DGPSM and DPM in 
triphosphate were dissolved in 10 mL of trypsin 
(0.2 mg/mL in 100 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.6). The
suspension was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Hydrolysis was stopped by adding 5 mL of 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min at 4°C and was then centrifuged at 9500 × g for 30 min using a D-3756 Osterode am Harz model 4516 Centrifuge (Sigma, Germany). The resulting precipitate was dissolved in 5 mL of NaOH and protein concentration was measured using the micro-Kjeldahl method. Digestibility was calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Protein digestibility (\%)} = \frac{(A - B)}{A} \times 100
\]

Where: A - Total protein content (mg) in the sample. B - Total protein content (mg) in TCA precipitate.

2.7. Foaming capacity and foam stability

Foaming capacity was determined in triplicate using the method described by Makri et al. (2005). Dispersions of 1% sample (w/v) were prepared in de-ionized water and adjusted to pH 7.4. A volume of 100 mL (VI) of meal suspension was blended for 3 min using a high-speed blender, poured into a 250 mL graduated cylinder, and the volume of foam (VF) was immediately recorded. Foaming capacity (FC) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{FC} = \frac{\text{VF}}{\text{VI}}
\]

Foaming stability was estimated as the percent of foam remaining after 60 min.

2.8. Emulsifying capacity

Emulsifying capacity (EC) was measured using the procedure described by Rakesh and Metz (1973), with modifications. 0.5 g of each sample were transferred to a 250 mL beaker and dissolved in 50 mL of 0.5 N NaCl, and then 50 mL of soybean oil was added. The homogenizer equipped with a motorized stirrer driven by a rheostat Ultra-T18 homogenizer (Shanghai, China) was immersed in the mixture, and operated for 120 s at 10,000 rpm to make an emulsion. The mixture was transferred to centrifuge tubes, kept in a water-bath at 90°C for 10 min and then centrifuged at 2800 g for 20 min.

\[
\text{EC} = V_a - V_r/W_s
\]

\[
V_a: \text{Volume of added oil}
\]

\[
V_r: \text{Volume of released oil}
\]

\[
W_s: \text{Weight of the sample}
\]

2.9. Oil binding capacity

Oil binding capacity was determined according to Chakraborty (1986). One gram (W0) of sample was added to pre-weighed 15-mL centrifuge tubes and thoroughly mixed with 10 mL (V0) of vegetable oil using a Vortex mixer. Samples were allowed to stand for 30 min. The protein-oil mixture was centrifuged at 3000 g for 20 min. Immediately after centrifugation, the supernatant was carefully poured into a 10 mL graduated cylinder, and the volume was recorded (V2). Oil binding capacity (milliliter of oil per gram of sample) was calculated as

\[
\text{OBC} = \frac{(V_1 - V_2)}{W_0}
\]

Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

2.10. Water holding capacity

Water holding capacity was determined using the method outlined by Beuchat (1977). One gram of sample was added to pre-weighed 15-mL centrifuge tubes. For each sample, 10 mL of distilled water were added and mixed using a Fisher Gene II vortex at the highest speed for 2 min. After the mixture was thoroughly wetted, samples were allowed to stand at room temperature for 30 min, and then centrifuged at 3000 g for 20 min. The supernatant was decanted and the centrifuge tube containing sediment was weighed. Water holding capacity (grams of water per gram of protein) was calculated as

\[
\text{WHC} = \frac{(W_s - W_1)}{W_0}
\]

Where: W0 is the weight of the dry sample (g), W1 is the weight of the tube plus the dry sample (g), and Ws is the weight of the tube plus the sediment (g). Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

2.11. Bulk density

Bulk density was determined using the method described by Monteiro and Prakash (1994). A calibrated plastic centrifuge tube was weighed (W1), protein samples were filled to 25 mL and the tubes were tapped to eliminate the spaces between the particles, the volume was taken as the volume of the sample. The tube was weighed again (W2). From the difference in weight, the bulk density of the protein samples was calculated and expressed as grams per milliliter (g/mL).

2.12. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The thermal properties of defatted gingerbread seed meal and defatted peanut meal were evaluated using differential scanning calorimetry (Pyris-I-DSC, Perkin-Elmer Corp., Norwalk, Conn., USA). 70 mg of various samples were dissolved in 1 mL of 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 M NaCl. The solutions (45 µL) were transferred and hermetically sealed in a stainless steel pan. The samples were heated by scanning from 25 to 135°C at a rate of 10°C per min against a reference containing 45 µL buffer without protein in a differential scanning calorimeter (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Norwalk, Conn., USA.). The denaturation peak temperature and enthalpy were calculated using a thermal analysis data software program.

2.13. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) studies were carried out using a scanning electron microscope (Quanta-200 FEI, Netherland). The samples were coated before loading to the scanning electron
microscopy. The coated samples were loaded into the system and the image was viewed under 5.0 KV potential using secondary electron image. The image was captured using 12 mm Ricoh Camera of 600x Mag.

2.14. Statistical analysis

All experiments were conducted at least in triplicate with SPSS Inc. software (version 13.0). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine significant differences between means, with the significance level taken at $a = 0.05$. Tukey’s HSD test was used to perform multiple comparisons between means.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Amino acid composition

The protein contents of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) increased after defatting the raw materials; gingerbread plum and peanut paste (Table 1). Indeed, it is well established that after the removal of oil, the protein content of oilseeds increased to about 50-60% (Altschul, 1958). Defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and whole gingerbread plum seed meal (WGPSM) contained the highest amounts of sulfur-containing amino acids whereas, basic amino acids were lower in both samples as compared with defatted peanut meal (DPM) and whole peanut meal (WPM). Regarding hydrophobic, uncharged polar, acidic and aromatic amino acids, DGPSM showed slightly higher contents as compared with DPM.

3.2. Nutritional protein quality

The protein quality, also known as the nutritional or nutritive value, depends on the level at which it provides essential amino acids needed for overall body health, maintenance, and growth (Ke-Xue et al., 2006). Since a direct assessment of protein nutritional value in human subjects is impractical for regulatory purposes, methods based on in vitro and animal bioassays for assessment of protein quality have been developed.

In this work, amino acid composition has been used as a basis for estimating the nutritional quality of gingerbread plum seed and peanut meal proteins. Results of the ratio of essential to total amino acids (E/T), amino acid score (AAS) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) of whole gingerbread plum seed meal (WGPSM), defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM), whole peanut meal (WPM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) are shown in Table 2.

The ratio of essential to total amino acids (E/T) in all samples was higher than recommended by WHO (at least 36%), and DGPSM with 41.93% ratio, ranked the highest (Table 2).

In all samples, lysine was the most limiting amino acid, followed by threonine and tryptophan. Baldwin (1986) reported that lysine, leucine, isoleucine and valine were the limiting amino acids in many oilseed proteins. However, amino acid scores (AAS) based on limiting amino acids were proportional to lysine content in this study. WGPSM was found to have the highest AAS value (68.63) followed by DGPSM (65.53) and DPM (60.07) with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

In general, PER (Protein Efficiency Ratio) below 1.5 implies a protein of low or poor quality; whereas PER between 1.5 and 2.0 indicates an intermediate protein quality; and then PER above 2.0 means protein of good to high quality (Friedman, 1996). The predicted PER values of all the samples are in the range of protein of an intermediate to good quality (Table 2). The PER values of WGPSM and WPM were quite satisfactory compared with a standard casein PER of 2.5 (Friedman, 1996).

<p>| Table 1 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of gingerbread plum seed and peanut meal amino acids classified according to similar chemical properties (g/100g of protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophobic (nonpolar)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncharged polar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur containing*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

The SDS-PAGE profiles of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) are shown in Figure 1. DGPSM and DPM revealed polypeptides of a wide range of molecular weights. Both samples indicated polypeptides above 45 kDa (Figure 1). Compared with DPM, DGPSM possessed fewer polypeptide bands. The SDS-PAGE pattern indicated nine major bands in DPM with estimated molecular weight ranging from 14.4 to above 66.2 kDa and six bands in DGPSM along with some minor bands in the two samples. Clearly, based on band width and intensity, five major polypeptides with molecular weight of 14.4, 20.1, 31.0, 43.0 and 66.2 kDa were identified in the DPM sample while DGPSM showed four intense polypeptides with molecular weight of 14.4, 31.0, 31.0 – 43.0 and 43.0 – 66.2 kDa. The present data are similar to those reported by Prasad (1988) for sunflower albumins.

3.4. Nitrogen solubility

Protein solubility at various pH values may serve as a useful indicator of how well proteins will perform when incorporated into food systems and also the extent of protein denaturation because of heat or chemical treatment (Horax, 2004). The nitrogen solubility profile at varying pH (from 2.0 to 12.0) of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) is minimum at pH 4.0 and increased gradually below pH 4.0 and above pH 6.0 (Figure 2). Above pH 8.0, the solubility continued to increase but at a slower rate and the maximum nitrogen solubility of DGPSM and DPM was observed at pH 10.0. Beyond pH 10.0, the solubility did not show a significant increase. The underlying mechanism of solubilization at alkaline pH (especially at pH > 10.0) may be related to the dissociation of protein molecules (Goring, 1955).

The solubility pattern of DGPSM was found similar to that of DPM. Shen (1981), found the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>WGPSM$^1$</th>
<th>DGPSM$^2$</th>
<th>WPM$^3$</th>
<th>DPM$^4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>84.43 ± 0.95c</td>
<td>80.86 ± 1.04a</td>
<td>86.65 ± 1.43c</td>
<td>60.07 ± 0.95b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met+Cys</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>113.27 ± 1.27b</td>
<td>128.33 ± 0.70a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>96.98 ± 0.67a</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>91.51 ± 0.70b</td>
<td>100.39 ± 0.75b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>68.63 ± 0.90a</td>
<td>65.53 ± 1.51d</td>
<td>47.22 ± 1.15c</td>
<td>76.5 ± 0.60b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
<td>69.77 ± 0.89c</td>
<td>82.24 ± 1.29d</td>
<td>91.55 ± 0.67a</td>
<td>95.90 ± 0.70b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>68.63</td>
<td>65.53</td>
<td>47.22</td>
<td>60.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/T (%)</td>
<td>39.58 ± 0.71a</td>
<td>41.93 ± 0.70a</td>
<td>39.79 ± 0.61a</td>
<td>38.95 ± 0.94b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ WGPSM: whole gingerbread plum seed meal, $^2$DGPSM: defatted gingerbread plum seed meal, $^3$WPM: whole peanut meal and $^4$DPM: defatted peanut meal. Values are means ± standard deviations of triplicates. Column with different letters indicate statistical differences (P<0.05). LAA: limiting amino acids with their respective amino acid score; *: Not limiting for the indicated sample; AAS: amino acid score; E/T: proportion of essential amino acids (E) to total amino acids (T); PER: protein efficiency ratio.

Figure 1

SDS-PAGE profiles of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM). Lane 1: DPM; lane 2: DGPSM; lane 3: Low molecular weight standards: rabbit phosphorylase B (97.4 kDa), bovine serum albumin (66.2 kDa), rabbit carbonic anhydrase (31.0 kDa), trypsin inhibitor (20.1 kDa) and hen egg white lysozyme (14.4 kDa).
same similarity of nitrogen solubility between peanut and soy proteins suggesting a possible similarity in functional properties and protein compositions of the two plant proteins. In fact, the amino acid profiles of peanut protein and soy protein are comparable with the exception of a lower lysine level in peanut (USDA-NAL, 2005). These previous findings implied how similar gingerbread plum seeds are to peanut and soybean in terms of their functional properties and protein composition.

3.5. In vitro protein digestibility (IVPD)

The in-vitro digestibility of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) were evaluated by TCA-soluble nitrogen release during the digestion of trypsin. The IVPD of DGPSM and DPM were 57.43% and 50.18% respectively and were significantly different (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

The results of this study are higher when compared with the values reported by Maha et al. (2009) for uncooked soybean flour (30.5%) and lower than the results reported by Ali et al. (2009) for soybean meal (76.08%).

3.6. Foaming capacity (FC) and foaming stability (FS)

The formation of protein based foams involves the diffusion of soluble proteins toward the air-water interface, rapid conformational change and rearrangement at the interface. The foam stability requires formation of a thick, cohesive, and viscoelastic film around each gas bubble (Damodaran, 1994).

Defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) had higher foaming capacity than defatted peanut meal (DPM) (Table 3). Radha et al. (2007) also observed that peanut flour shows less foaming capacity and foaming stability (40 ± 2% and 10 ± 1 mL) compared to foaming capacities and foaming stabilities of soy flour and sesame flour. As the standing time increases, foam stability decreases (Figure 3). Our results agreed with those reported by Abdeen (1987), who found that the foaming stability of lupin protein isolates decreased with increasing time. Also after 1.5 h standing, the foams were less stable. This decrease may be explained by the collapsing and bursting of the formed air bubbles.

3.7. Emulsifying capacity (EC)

Food emulsions are thermodynamically unstable mixtures of immiscible liquids (water and oil). The formation and stability of emulsion is very important in food systems such as salad dressings. Proteins are composed of charged amino acids, non-charged polar amino acids and nonpolar amino acids, which makes protein a possible emulsifier, the surfactant possessing both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties which are able to interact with both water and oil in food systems (Jianmei et al., 2007). The emulsifying capacities of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) are shown in Table 3. DPM (34.67%) had higher emulsifying capacity compared with DGPSM (29%). Our results agreed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional property</th>
<th>DGPSM¹</th>
<th>DPM²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In vitro protein digestibility (%)</td>
<td>57.43 ± 1.37</td>
<td>50.18 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foaming capacity (mL/100 mL)</td>
<td>145 ± 2.31</td>
<td>133 ± 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsifying capacity (%)</td>
<td>29.0 ± 2.65</td>
<td>34.67 ± 4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water holding capacity (g/g)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil binding capacity (g/g)</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (g/mL)</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation of three determinations.
¹DGPSM: defatted gingerbread plum seed meal;
²DPM: defatted peanut meal.
Indeed, surface hydrophobicity is an important factor in determining emulsifying properties. It was previously reported that hydrophobicity exposed by a protein would allow a better molecular anchorage to be established in the oil-water interface, giving more stable emulsions (Nakai et al., 1986; Matsudomi et al., 1985). However, DGPSM contained more hydrophobic amino acid residues than DPM (Table 1). Therefore, the higher emulsifying capacity exhibited by DPM might be due to its lower hydrophobic amino acid content.

3.8. Water holding and oil binding capacities

The interactions of water and oil with proteins are very important in food systems because of their effects on the flavor and texture of foods. Intrinsic factors affecting the water binding of food protein include amino acid composition, protein conformation and surface hydrophobicity/polarity (Barbut, 1999). The results on the water and oil holding capacities of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) are shown in Table 3. DGPSM showed better water absorption (3.01 g/g) than DPM (2.96 g/g). The oil binding capacity values were similar, 3.12 g/g and 3.11 g/g for DGPSM and DPM respectively. These binding capacities were higher than those reported by Neto et al. (2001) for the water and oil absorption capacities of cashew nut proteins.

3.9. Bulk density

Bulk density depends on the attractive interparticle forces, particle size and number of contact positions (Peleg and Bagley, 1983) and it is important for determining packaging requirements, material handling and application in wet processing in the food industry. Table 3 shows that the bulk density of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) (0.30 g/mL) was higher than that of the defatted peanut meal (DPM) (0.28 g/mL).

These bulk density values are in the range of the values reported by Adeyeye and Adamu (2003) for various samples of extrusion texturized soya products with varied protein and soluble sugar contents (0.2382 – 0.4460 g/mL) although are lower than the values reported by Njintang et al. (2001) on dry red bean flour, 0.61 to 0.63 g/mL.

3.10. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

DSC is a valuable tool for assessing the potential of protein isolates or related high protein content products as functional ingredients in different food systems, where heat processing is required. Because the functional properties of protein rich products are greatly influenced by their conformation, DSC is applied to protein isolates and related products as a technique highly sensitive to conformational changes (Gorinstein et al., 1996). The DSC characteristics of defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) are summarized in Table 4.

DGPSM has a denaturation temperature or peak maximum temperature (Td) of approximately 78°C while DPM had two peaks with Td values of
90 and 97°C respectively. The reaction enthalpy ($\Delta H$) value of DGPSM was 0.0054 J/g and the values for DPM were 0.080 and 0.152 J/g respectively. The $T_d$ and $\Delta H$ for DPM were similar to those reported by Kisung et al. (1996) for coconut proteins.

### 3.11. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Micro structural changes produced in the flour after defatting were examined by scanning electron microscopy (Figure 4). The two meals were degraded into small fragments after defatting. Results showed that flour particles were clustered together and degradation of defatted flour particles takes place during the defatting process. These results are similar to those reported by Radha et al. (2007); Wu et al. (2009). Scanning electron micrographs of DGPSM and DPM obtained under the same parameters ($HV=50.0$ KV; $Mag=600x$; Spot $=4.0$ and 100 $\mu$m) show that DPM has slightly larger particles than DGPSM (Figure 4).

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

This investigation reveals that defatted gingerbread plum seed meal (DGPSM) and defatted peanut meal (DPM) were relatively comparable in term of their nutritional quality and functional properties. Both samples show similar SDS-PAGE patterns and results from DSC and SEM show the changes in the respective meal profiles after the removal of fat. Based on the foregoing, gingerbread plum seeds can be considered as part of all the oleaginous fruit and seeds related to peanuts and the other well known oilseeds that have been more thoroughly investigated and extensively used due to their potential. Therefore, gingerbread plum seeds could be of interest in areas where peanut and its related products and other oilseeds found useful applications.
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