GRASAS Y ACEITES 74 (2)

April-June 2023, e502 ISSN-L: 0017-3495 https://doi.org/10.3989/gya.1235212

A survey of phthalates in flavored olive oils from Turkey

[®]İ. Toptancı^a, [®]S. Kıralan^{b,™} and [®]O. Ketenoğlu^c

^aDepartment of Food Contact Material and Dioxin, Istanbul Food Control Laboratory, Istanbul, Turkey
^bBalikesir University, Faculty of Engineering, Food Engineering Department, Balikesir, TURKEY
^cEskişehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Agriculture, Food Engineering Department, Eskişehir, Turkey
[∞]Corresponding author: sezerkiralan@balikesir.edu.tr

Submitted: 15 January 2021; Accepted: 27 July 2022; Published online: 25 May 2023

SUMMARY. Phthalates are organic contaminants that are used as plasticizers in many plastic food packaging materials. Because of their lipophilic character, oils are the primary source of human exposure to phthalates. In this study, the presence of five phthalate esters; diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP), diisononyl phthalate (DINP) and diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP) in olive oils flavored with different materials and marketed in Turkey in 2020 was determined. The samples included BBP, DINP, DIDP at lower concentrations than their LOQ. DEHP was the most abundant phthalate in all samples at varying concentrations between < LOQ to 1.81 mg/kg. The highest amount of DEHP was found in the oil sample flavored with bergamot (1.81 mg/kg). DBP was detected in 9 of the 25 samples at concentrations from 0.11 to 0.27 mg/kg.

KEYWORDS: Contaminants; Diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP); Flavored olive oil; Phthalates; Turkey.

RESUMEN. Estudios sobre ftalatos en aceites de oliva aromatizados de Turquía. Los ftalatos son contaminantes orgánicos que se utilizan como plastificantes en muchos materiales plásticos de envasado de alimentos. Debido a su carácter lipofílico, los aceites son la principal fuente de exposición humana a los ftalatos. En este estudio se determinó, la presencia de cinco ésteres ftalatos, ftalato de dietilhexilo (DEHP), ftalato de dibutilo (DBP), ftalato de butilbencilo (BBP), ftalato de diisononilo (DINP) y ftalato de diisodecilo (DIDP) en aceites de oliva aromatizados con diferentes materiales comercializados en Turquía en 2020. Las muestras incluían BBP, DINP, DIDP en concentraciones más bajas que su LOQ. El DEHP fue el ftalato más abundante en todas las muestras en concentraciones variables entre <LOQ y 1,81 mg/kg. La mayor cantidad de DEHP se encontró en la muestra de aceite con sabor a bergamota (1,81 mg/kg). Se detectó DBP en 9 de las 25 muestras en concentraciones de 0,11 a 0,27 mg/kg.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Aceite de oliva aromatizado; Contaminantes; Ftalato de dietilhexilo (DEHP); Ftalatos; Turquía.

Citation/Cómo citar este artículo: Toptancı I, Kıralan S, Ketenoğlu O. 2023. A Survey of Phthalates in Flavored Olive Oils From Turkey. *Grasas Aceites* 74 (1), e502. https://doi.org/10.3989/gya.1235212

Copyright: ©2023 CSIC. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

1. INTRODUCTION

Virgin olive oil (VOO) is considered a high-value vegetable oil which possesses a characteristic aroma, taste and color. Olive oil is produced by only mechanical methods, thus there is no use of chemicals during its production. Besides, unlike the other vegetable oils, olive oil can be consumed directly without further refining (Fitó et al., 2000). Virgin olive oil contains some minor compounds including tocopherols, sterols, carotenoids, squalene and phenolic compounds which have health-promoting attributes. A high consumption of virgin olive oil could reduce oxidative stress, prevent some cancer types, heart disease, and ageing (Nath and Nath 2000; Owen et al., 2000). Barbagallo et al. (2017) reported that olive oil has many phytochemicals including phenolic components and secoiridoids that exert antioxidant activities.

Innovative and healthy products attract consumers' attention. One innovative product is flavored olive oils. Flavored olive oils are improved with healthy ingredients which make them preferable to consumers. Several materials are used to make flavored olive oils. These materials are essential oils, fruits, herbs, mushrooms, nuts, spices and vegetables (Sousa et al., 2015). These innovative oils are generally produced via the maceration method. In this method, flavoring materials are mixed with virgin olive oil and the mixture is left for a certain time, enabling the increase in some constituents such as aroma compounds and phenolics which exhibit antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (Moldão-Martins et al., 2004; Ayadi et al., 2009; Baiano et al., 2010; Jović et al., 2018). Beside aroma, these flavoring materials may contribute to a longer shelf-life for virgin olive oils due to their preventive effect from oxidation. In addition, some constituents, especially phenolics, contribute to human health (Baiano et al., 2010).

Phthalates are synthesized by a double-esterification mechanism of 1,2-benzenecarboxylic acid, and they are reported to have branched, apolar and linear components (Notardonato *et al.*, 2018). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) classified phthalates as priority pollutants which endanger human health by carcinogenic effects, adverse reproductive effects, and by altering endocrine function (Cadogan, 2002; Rios *et al.*, 2010). Phthalates are used in different materials such as polyvinyl chloride, polyvinyl acetate and polyester materials (Rios *et al.*, 2010). In many previous studies associated

with foods and phthalates, di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP) benzylbutyl phthalate (BBP) were determined to be the most commonly identified phthalates (Tsumura *et al.*, 2002; Jarošová *et al.*, 2006; Fierens *et al.*, 2012).

Phthalates have higher solubility in vegetable oils than in water, which makes them a risky food product. Therefore, the determination of the presence and amount of phthalates in vegetable oils is very important for human health and confidence (Cavaliere et al., 2008). Several studies have been conducted on the presence of phthalates in olive oil. DEHP, DnBP and BBP were identified in extra virgin olive oils, olive oils and olive pomace oils from the Italian market. Olive pomace oils contained higher concentration of phthalates, while lower amounts were detected in extra virgin oils (Cavaliere et al., 2008). DiBP, BBP and DEHP were determined as the most abundant phthalates in Sicilian virgin olive oils, while DiNP and DiDP were the most abundant in Molise olive oils (Mo Dugo et al., 2011). In another study by Nanni et al. (2011), the researchers demonstrated that DEHP and DINP were found at the highest levels among phthalates in extra virgin olive oils in Italy.

According to the legislation in this field, five phthalates are listed due to their potential health effects on humans including dibutyl phthalate (DBP), benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), the sum of diisononyl phthalate (DINP) and diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP) with their specific migration limits as 0.3, 30, 1.5 and 9 mg/kg, respectively (EFSA 2005a; 2005b, 2005c, 2005d, 2005e; European Commission 2011). To the best of our knowledge, there is no information on phthalates in flavored olive oils. The aim of this work is to evaluate the presence of phthalates in flavored olive oils sold in Turkish markets, and to determine whether phthalate concentrations depend on the type of flavoring materials. The results will be useful for future studies regarding specific phthalate exposure from flavored olive oils.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample collection

A total of 25 flavored olive oil samples contained in glass bottles were purchased from a local firm in Turkey and analyzed in October 2020. Each sample was stored at -20 °C until analyses. Olive oils were

flavored with Chili Black Pepper, Aniseed, Bergamot, Rosemary, Tee, Bay, Basil, Thyme, Black Pepper, Lemon, Mandarin, Orange, Garlic, Sumac, Green Pepper, Chili Pepper, Gentian and Mix (rosemary, black pepper, garlic, sun-dried tomato). The details of the flavoring material for olive oil and their codings are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Codes and names of flavored olive oils

Codes	Flavoring material
FOO1	Chili Black Pepper
FOO2	Aniseed
FOO3	Bergamot
FOO4	Rosemary
FOO5	Tee
FOO6	Bay
FOO7	Basil
FOO8	Thyme
FOO9	Black Pepper
FOO10	Lemon
FOO11	Mandarin
FOO12	Orange
FOO13	Garlic
FOO14	Sumac
FOO15	Green Pepper
FOO16	Basil
FOO17	Chili Pepper
FOO18	Gentian
FOO19	Gentian
FOO20	Lemon
FOO21	Rosemary
FOO22	Thyme
FOO23	Mix (rosemary, black pepper, garlic, sun-dried tomato)
FOO24	Basil
FOO25	Rosemary

2.2. Reagents and materials

Benzyl butyl phthalate (BBP), diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP), diisononyl phthalate (DINP), 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT), and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) as phthalate standards were purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH (Germany). The purities of all standards were 99% except for DINP (98%). Acetonitrile and *n*-hexane were obtained from Sigma – Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA) at HPLC grade.

2.3. Preparation of standards

1000 mg/L of stock standard solutions were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of the phthalates in hexane. DBP, DEHP, DINP, DIDP, and BBP from stock solution were transferred to 50-mL volumetric flasks at concentrations of 50 μ L, 250 μ L, 1500 μ L, 1500 μ L and 2500 μ L, respectively. The intermediate standard mixtures (ISM) were then prepared by diluting with *n*-hexane. 40, 80, 160, 320, 480, 640 μ L of the ISM for each phthalate were then transferred to 10-mL glass tubes, and 1 g of reference vegetable oil and 10 μ L of BHT (1000 ppm) were added. Then the mixture was centrifuged at 2500 rpm at 10-15 °C immediately after vortexing for 2 minutes.

2.4. Sample preparation

2.4.1. Quality assurance and quality control of phthalate analyses

Only glass laboratory equipment was used for sample preparation in order to prevent cross contamination. The sample flow line was purged with *n*-hexane to avoid potential contamination with samples. A special sample preparation laboratory was used for analysis of phthalates.

2.4.2. Oil sample preparation

The previous method reported by Ierapetritis *et al.* (2014) was followed with some modifications. 1 g oil was weighed into 10-mL glass tubes, and 10 μ L of the internal standard (BHT) were added. Approximately 10 mL of acetonitrile were used for the completion of the final volume. The samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min after a primary vortexing. The upper phase was transferred to another glassware and left to dry under nitrogen at 40 °C until a final 1 mL remained at the bottom. The extract was then injected into the GC-MS after a settling time of 1 hour.

2.5. Chromatographic analysis by GC-MS

GC–MS was operated in the electron impact mode because ionization by this technique is the most sensitive and reproducible. A 6890GC-5973MS (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) device was used. The colum used was a HP-5MS capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm I. D. \times 0.25 μ m film thick-

TABLE 2. GC parameters and conditions

Parameter	Condition			
Carrier gas	Helium (purity: ≥ 99.999%)			
Flow rate (mL/min)	1.0 mL/min			
Injection volume (µL) / mode	$1 \mu L$ / splitless			
Injection temperature (°C)	280			
Temperature program	80 °C for 1 min, Ramp to 280 °C, rate: 15 °C/min. Hold at 280 °C for 15 min			
Electron impact energy (eV)	70			
Source temperature (°C)	230			

TABLE 3. CAS identifiers, retention times, SIM ions and time windows for the phthalates and internal standard (BHT) in SIM mode

Compounds	CAS#	Retention time (min)	SIM ion (m/z)	Time window (min)	
BHT (IS)	128-37-0	8.099	2051, 145, 177, 220	4.00-10.00	
DBP	84-74-2	11.354	2231, 150, 205	10.00-12.50	
BBP	85-68-7	13.748	2381, 91, 150, 206	12.50-14.00	
DINP	68515-48-0	16.074	3071, 150, 167	15.50-18.00	
DIDP	68515-49-1	17.909	2931, 150, 167	15.50-18.00	
DEHP	117-81-7	14.750	279a, 150, 167	14.00-15.50	

¹ Quantitative ion; DEHP: diethylhexyl phthalate; DBP: dibutyl phthalate; BBP: butyl benzyl phthalate; DINP: diisononyl phthalate; DIDP: diisodecyl phthalate; BHT: 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol

ness). All other operation conditions are listed in Table 2. Selected-ion monitoring (SIM) was used for data acquisition. The compound names, CAS identifiers, retention times, SIM ions and time windows of the five phthalates and internal standard (BHT) are shown in Table 3.

2.6. Validation parameters

The validation procedure was performed according to the specifications established in the Turkish "TS EN 14372:2004; Child use and care articles – Cutlery and feeding utensils- Safety requirements and tests".

The method validation was performed by assessing recovery, linearity, specificity, and precision of peak areas. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined by multiplying the signal from experimental noise ratios by 3 and 10 times, respectively. Calibration curves prepared from internal standards were used for evaluating the data. The linearities in all cases were satisfactory with correlation coefficients higher than 0.990. The method performance parameters are given in

Table 4. The average recoveries of the five phthalates were between 87 and 100% and the RSD values were less than 20% for overall concentrations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 5 shows the phthalates found in flavored olive oils. In all analyzed samples BBP, DINP and DIDP were less than LOQ. In previous reports, DBP, BBP, DINP and DIDP were identified in olive oil samples marketed in Italy (Fusari and Rovellini, 2009; Mo Dugo *et al.*, 2011).

The current study revealed that DEHP was present in 18 of 25 samples with a mean value ranging from < LOQ to 1.81±0.025 mg/kg. The oil sample flavored with bergamot (FOO3) included the highest level of DEHP at 1.81±0.025 mg/kg, followed by the oil flavored with mandarin (FOO11, 1.61±0.045 mg/kg). In this study, the concentration of DEHP in 5 of the 25 samples was past the substance migration limit (SML) (1.5 mg/kg) as determined by EU 2011/10 for this phthalate. In a study by Mo Dugo *et al.* (2011), the DEHP levels in all olive oil samples from 3 years were detected with mean values of 1.171, 1.935 and

Table 4. Method performance parameters (n=3)

Phthalates	Linear Equation	\mathbb{R}^2	LOD (mg/kg)	LOQ (mg/kg)	RSD (%)	Recovery (%)
DBP	y=1300x-1593	0.95	0.06	0.09	11	94
BBP	y=4137x-4493	0.97	1.97	2.28	10	87
DINP	y=6823x-8488	0.95	1.37	1.75	4	100
DIDP	y=6849x-8525	0.95	1.20	1.40	3	92
DEHP	y=3006x-3097	0.99	0.10	0.23	7	87

DEHP: diethylhexyl phthalate; DBP: dibutyl phthalate; BBP: butyl benzyl phthalate; DINP: diisononyl phthalate; DIDP: diisodecyl phthalate; late; LOD: limit of detection; LOQ: limit of quantification.

TABLE 5. Concentrations of phthalate esters in flavored olive oils (mg/kg) (n=2)

Sample	DBP	BBP	DEHP	DINP	DIDP
FOO1	0.27±0.015	<loq< td=""><td>1.16±0.035</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.16±0.035	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO2	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.85 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.85 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.85 ± 0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO3	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.81±0.025</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.81±0.025</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.81±0.025	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO4	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.59±0.065</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.59±0.065</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.59±0.065	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO5	0.13±0.02	<loq< td=""><td>0.92 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.92 ± 0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO6	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.29±0.035</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.29±0.035</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.29±0.035	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO7	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.34 ± 0.01</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.34 ± 0.01</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.34 ± 0.01	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO8	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.45 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.45 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.45 ± 0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO9	0.25 ± 0.025	<loq< td=""><td>1.59±0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.59±0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO10	0.19 ± 0.01	<loq< td=""><td>1.23±0.01</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.23±0.01	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO11	0.25 ± 0.025	<loq< td=""><td>1.61±0.045</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.61±0.045	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO12	0.12 ± 0.01	<loq< td=""><td>1.41 ± 0.045</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.41 ± 0.045	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO13	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.51±0.145</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.51±0.145</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.51±0.145	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO14	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO15	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO16	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO17	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO18	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.68 ± 0</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.68 ± 0</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.68 ± 0	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO19	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.53 ± 0.02</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.53 ± 0.02</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.53 ± 0.02	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO20	0.11 ± 0.01	<loq< td=""><td>0.81 ± 0.015</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.81 ± 0.015	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO21	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO22	0.14±0.01	<loq< td=""><td>0.59 ± 0.03</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.59 ± 0.03	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO23	0.18 ± 0.015	<loq< td=""><td>0.4 ± 0.02</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.4 ± 0.02	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO24	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
FOO25	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>

DEHP: diethylhexyl phthalate; DBP: dibutyl phthalate; BBP: butyl benzyl phthalate; DINP: diisononyl phthalate; DIDP: diisodecyl phthalate; LOQ: limit of quantification.

0.445 mg/kg, respectively (Mo Dugo et al., 2011). et al., 2011). No phthalates were detected in sample The DEHP concentrations were also lower than ex- FOO14 (flavored with sumac), FOO15 (flavored with tra virgin olive oils in glass and in tinplate (Nanni green pepper), FOO16 (flavored with mandarin basil),

FOO17 (chili pepper), FOO21 (rosemary), FOO24 (basil) or FOO25 (rosemary).

As shown in Table 5, DBP was present in nine of 25 samples with a mean value ranging from < LOQ to 0.27±0.015 mg/kg. In a study by Sungur *et al.* (2015), DBP concentrations were 0.117-1.418 mg/kg for olive oils and 0.102-1.048 mg/kg for virgin olive oils. In our study, the concentration of DBP did not exceed the substance migration limit (SML) (0.3 mg/kg) as set by EU 2011/10. The DBP concentrations in virgin olive oils in the current study were similar to those reported by Sungur *et al.* (2015).

The results obtained showed that a relation could be found between phthalate concentrations and flavoring materials. In a survey work by Cao et al. (2015), the phthalate contents in different food stuffs were investigated and DEHP was detected at the highest levels in the herbs and spices samples in almost all food stuffs. In another study by Ning et al. (2017), the concentration of DEHP in raw green pepper ranged from 1.83 to 5.95 mg/kg, which exceeded the legislated limit by EU 2011/10. The findings in the previous reports are considered useful to explain the high DEHP concentrations in flavored olive oils with herbs as observed in our study. In our study, the DEHP concentrations were 1.81 mg/kg for olive oil flavored with bergamod, 1.59 mg/kg for olive oil flavored with rosemary, and 1.59 mg/kg for olive oil flavored with black pepper. In a study by Di Bella et al. (2018), in which phthalates were determined in Tunisian culinary spices and herbs, DEHP concentrations in spices and herbs including caraway, black pepper, mint, oregano, coriander, thyme, rosemary, fennel, verbena, and laurel were found to range from 0.6 to $1.18 \mu g/kg$.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results from our study regarding phthalates in flavored oils indicated that 6 of 25 virgin olive oil samples flavored with different materials included DEHP (1.45±0.03 – 1.81±0.025 mg/kg), and these concentrations exceeded the SML set by EU 2011/10 regulation (1.5 mg/kg). In this study, DBP concentrations in all analyzed samples did not exceed the migration limit (SML) (0.3 mg/kg) of EU 2011/10, while BBP, DINP and DIDP were found to be less than their LOQ in all analyzed samples. However, from a food safety perspective for phthalates, seven flavored olive oil samples contained no residue of

phthalates. The findings of this study are useful for the flavored olive oil producers and oil industry and will be a guide for a phthalate-free flavored olive oil production.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Balıkesir University (project no: 2020/043).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Ayadi MA, Grati-Kamoun N, Attia H. 2009. Physico-chemical change and heat stability of extra virgin olive oils flavoured by selected Tunisian aromatic plants. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **47**, 2613–2619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.07.024
- Baiano A, Gambacorta G, La Notte E. 2010. Aromatization of olive oil. *Transworld Research Network* **661**, 1–29.
- Barbagallo I, Volti GL, Raffaele M, Distefano A, Palmeri R, Parafati L, Licari M, Zingales V, Avola R, Vanella L. 2017. The effects of olive leaf extract from a Sicilian cultivar in an experimental model of hepatic steatosis. *Rend. Lincei.* **28**, 643–650. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-017-0649-4
- Cadogan D. 2002. Health and environmental impact of phthalates. *Plast. Addit. Compd.* **4**, 28–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-391X(02)80091-5
- Cao XL, Zhao W, Dabeka R. 2015. Di-(2-ethylhexyl) adipate and 20 phthalates in composite food samples from the 2013 Canadian Total Diet Study. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A*, **32**, 1893–1901. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2015.1079742
- Cavaliere B, Macchione B, Sindona G, Tagarelli A. 2008. Tandem mass spectrometry in food safety assessment: the determination of phthalates in olive oil. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1205**, 137–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.08.009
- Di Bella G, Ben Mansour H, Ben Tekaya A, Beltifa A, Potortì AG, Saiya E, Bartolomeo G, Dugo G, Lo Turco V. 2018. Plasticizers and BPA residues in Tunisian and Italian culinary herbs and spices. *J. Food Sci.* **83**, 1769–1774. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14171

- European Commission. 2011. Commission Regulation (EU) No 10/2011 of 14 January 2011 on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **12**, 1–89.
- EFSA. European Food Safety Authority. 2005a. Opinion of the scientific panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC) on a request from the commission related to butylbenzylphthalate (BBP) for use in food contact materials question N° EFSA-Q-2003-190. EFSA J. 241, 1–14.
- EFSA. European Food Safety Authority. 2005b. Opinion of the scientific panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and material in contact with food (AFC) on a request from the commission related to Di butylphthalate (DBP) for use in food contact materials question N° EFSA-Q-2003-192. EFSA J. 242, 1–17.
- EFSA. European Food Safety Authority. 2005c. Opinion of the scientific panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC) on a request from the commission related to Di isononylphthalate (DINP) for use in food contact materials question N° EFSA-Q-2003-194. *EFSA J.* **244**, 1–18.
- EFSA. European Food Safety Authority 2005d. Opinion of the scientific panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC) on a request from the commission related to Di-isodecylphthalate (DIDP) for use in food contact materials question N°EF-SA-Q-2003-195. EFSA J. 245, 1–14.
- EFSA. European Food Safety Authority. 2005e. Opinion of the scientific panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC) on a request from the commission related to Di-isodecylphthalate (DIDP) for use in food contact materials question N°EF-SA-Q-2003-195. EFSA J. 245, 1–14.
- Fierens T, Servaes K, Van Holderbeke M, Geerts L, De Henauw S, Sioen I, Vanermen G. 2012. Analysis of phthalates in food products and packaging materials sold on the Belgian market. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **50**, 2575–2583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.04.029
- Fitó M, Covas MI, Lamuela-Raventós RM, Vila J, Torrents J, De la Torre C, Marrugat J. 2000. Protective effect of olive oil and its phenolic compounds against low density lipoprotein oxidation.

- *Lipids* **35**, 633–638. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-000-0567-1
- Fusari P, Rovellini P. 2009. Liquid chromatography-Ion Trap-ESI-mass spectrome-try in food safety assessment: phthalates in vegetable oils. *Rtv. Ital. Sostanze Gr.* **86**, 25–30.
- Ierapetritis I, Lioupis A, Lampi E. 2014. Determination of phthalates into vegetable oils by isotopic dilution gas chromatography mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* 7, 1451–1457. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-013-9770-x
- Jarošová ALŽBETA. 2006. Phthalic acid esters (PAEs) in the food chain. *Czech J. Food Sci.* **24**, 223–231. https://doi.org/10.17221/3318-CJFS
- Jović O, Habinovec I, Galić N, Andrašec M. 2018. Maceration of Extra Virgin Olive Oil with Common Aromatic Plants Using Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction: An UV-Vis Spectroscopic Investigation. *J. Spectrosc.* 2018, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7510647
- Mo Dugo G, Fotia V, Turco VL, Maisano R, Potortì AG, Salvo A, Di Bella G. 2011. Phthalate, adipate and sebacate residues by HRGC-MS in olive oils from Sicily and Molise (Italy). *Food Control* **22**, 982–988. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.12.006
- Moldão-Martins M, Beirao-da-Costa S, Neves C, Cavaleiro C, Salgueiro L, Beirao-da-Costa ML. 2004. Olive oil flavoured by the essential oils of Mentha× piperita and Thymus mastichina L. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **15**, 447–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2003.08.001
- Nanni N, Fiselier K, Grob K, Di Pasquale M, Fabrizi L, Aureli P, Coni E. 2011. Contamination of vegetable oils marketed in Italy by phthalic acid esters. *Food Control* **22**, 209–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.05.022
- Nath R, Nath R. 2000. Health and Disease Role of Micronutrients and Trace Elements. Recent Advances in the Assessment of Micronutrients and Trace Elements Deficiency in Humans. APH Publishing, New Delhi, India.
- Ning C, Shuai W, Xinmei HAO, Zhang H, Dongmei ZHOU, Juan GAO. 2017. Contamination of phthalate esters in vegetable agriculture and human cumulative risk assessment. *Pedosphere* **27**, 439–451. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60340-0
- Notardonato I, Russo MV, Avino P. 2018. Phthalates and bisphenol-A residues in water samples:

- an innovative analytical approach. *Rend. Lincei*. **29**, 831–840. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-018-0745-0
- Owen RW, Giacosa A, Hull WE, Haubner R, Würtele G, Spiegelhalder B, Bartsch H. 2000. Olive-oil consumption and health: the possible role of antioxidants. *Lancet Oncol.* **1**, 107–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(00)00015-2
- Rios JJ, Morales A, Marquez-Ruiz G. 2010. Headspace solid-phase microextraction of oil matrices heated at high temperature and phthalate esters determination by gas chromatography multistage mass spectrometry. *Talanta* **80**, 2076–2082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2009.11.008
- Sousa A, Casal S, Malheiro R, Lamas H, Bento A, Pereira JA. 2015. Aromatized olive oils: Influence of flavouring in quality, composition, stability, antioxidants, and antiradical potential. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **60**, 22–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.08.026
- Sungur S, Okur R, Turgut FH, Ustun I, Gokce C. 2015. Migrated phthalate levels into edible oils. *Food Addit. Contam. B* **8**, 190–194. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2015.1041065
- Tsumura Y, Ishimitsu S, Kaihara A, Yoshii K, Tonogai Y. 2002. Phthalates, adipates, citrate and some of the other plasticizers detected in Japanese retail foods: a survey. *J. Health Sci.* **48**, 493–502. https://doi.org/10.1248/jhs.48.493